

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,843	08/29/2003	Richard L. Wilder	IGT1P277/P-798	8136
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 10/11/200 VER LLP	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 70250		,	PANDYA, SUNIT	
OAKLAND, C	A 94612-0250		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/651,843		WILDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Sunit Pandy		3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the d	over sheet with t	the correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be set of the provisions of 37 CFR.	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will a tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICA' t, however, may a reply expire SIX (6) MONTHS ation to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	July 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cons						
Application Papers	1						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) the drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. d if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been ents have been riority documer eau (PCT Rule	received. received in Appl its have been red 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	:	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to amendment filed 10/7/2007, wherein claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itkis (US Patent 4,856,787).

Claims 1-3, 9: Itkis teaches of a system configured to offer a wagering event to a player comprising a multiple gaming terminals, wherein each terminal contains a display to display wagering event information to the players (figure 1, wherein multiple wagering terminals with display for displaying wagering information are disclosed). Itkis also teaches each wagering terminal having a touch screen for player input (col. 1: 54-4), and a monetary/card interface to accept wager (figure 1 discloses card input for player tracking cards and all gaming terminal must definitely have a monetary input in order to activate the wagering terminal, i.e. a monetary input could be coin input, cash input, credit card input etc.) Itkis discloses memory to store machine readable game codes and a processor to execute said codes to offer games and

Art Unit: 3714

bonuses related to the games to plurality of slave game device from a master game device (col. 3: 13-34).

Claims 4-6, 8, 12-13, 16: Itkis discloses memory and processor being remote from the slave game terminals, wherein the processor controls multiple gaming terminal which are connected through a network (col. 3: 13-34, 3: 66-11).

Claims 7, 15 & 19: Itkis discloses master game device (Figure 1, element 1) that contains a memory to store machine readable game codes and a processor to execute said codes to offer games and bonuses related to the games to plurality of slave game device (col. 3: 13-34), and multiple gaming terminals to concurrently present wagering event to multiple players (figure 1, wherein multiple wagering terminals with display for displaying wagering information are disclosed). Itkis teaches of having communication interface connected to the control module to send data to and receive data from the plurality of gaming terminals (col. 3: 66-11, 5: 15-32).

Claims 10-11: Itkis discloses of master game device comprising a processor, a memory and additional expansion ports which could be used for video adapter as well as audio adapter (col. 3: 13-34, 5: 3-8).

Claims 17 & 20: Itkis discloses of a single controller controlling multiple wagering games (figure 2 master game device controlling the slave devices, col. 3: 13-34).

Claim 18: Itkis teaches wherein the control module could be a personal computer (figure 1) and each gaming terminal comprises a display and a player interface (see figure 1).

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itkis as applied to claims 1-13 and 15-20 above, and further in view of Stepan et al. (US Patent 4,621,814).

Claim 14: Itkis teaches the invention substantially as claimed however, Itkis fails to teach of having multiple gaming terminals within the same housing. Stepan teaches of an amusement device housing that allows multiple gaming devices to be placed in the same housing (see figure 1 and abstract). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Itkis to allow multiple gaming device to be placed in the same housing to reduce space being occupied by the multiple gaming machines.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/27/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding the applicant's arguments that Itkis is silent as to where and if the master gaming device stores the machine-readable game codes. The examiner would like to direct the applicant's attention to figure 1, wherein element 3 is a hard drive which would contain the machine readable game codes, which are necessary to successfully execute any games.

Regarding the applicant's arguments that Itkis does not mention of machine-readable game code. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant, all of machines require specific machine codes or programs, which have to be executed in order for the machine to successfully initiate, therefore it would be inherent for Itkis to have machine readable game codes, implemented in his gaming system, in order to keep the gaming system functioning successfully.

Regarding the applicant's arguments that Itkis does not teach each and every element as set forth in independent claim 1, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As noted above in the rejection, Itkis teaches of a system configured to offer a wagering event to a player comprising a multiple gaming terminals, wherein each terminal contains a display to display wagering event information to the players (figure 1, wherein multiple wagering terminals with display for displaying wagering information are disclosed). Itkis also teaches each wagering terminal having a touch screen for player input (col. 1: 54-4), and a monetary/card interface to accept wager (figure 1 discloses card input for player tracking cards and all gaming terminal must definitely have a monetary input in order to activate the wagering terminal, i.e. a monetary input could be coin input, cash input, credit card input etc.) Itkis discloses memory to store machine

Art Unit: 3714

readable game codes (figure 1, element 3) and a processor to execute said codes (col. 2: 47-60) to offer games and bonuses related to the games to plurality of slave game device from a master game device (col. 3: 13-34).

Consequently, Itkis discloses the invention as claimed, in the claims, and therefore the rejection is maintained.

Examiner Notes

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3714

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunit Pandya whose telephone number is 571-272-2823. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROBERT E. PEZZUTO SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER